UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/659,659	09/10/2003	Nathaniel Christopher Herwig	11388.00	3696
26884 7590 04/06/2007 PAUL W. MARTIN NCR CORPORATION, LAW DEPT.			EXAMINER	
			LABAZE, EDWYN	
1700 S. PATTERSON BLVD. DAYTON, OH 45479-0001		,	ART UNIT PAPER N	PAPER NUMBER
			2876	
SHORTENED STATUTORY	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	Y MODE
3 MON	THS	04/06/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/659,659	HERWIG ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	EDWYN LABAZE	2876				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 Ja	nuary 2007.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.	•				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	. *					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r. ·					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 10 September 2003 is/a		ted to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	p					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicati	on No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau	, , , ,					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	ed.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	•				

DETAILED ACTION

1. Receipt is acknowledged of amendments filed on 1/11/2007.

2. Claims 1-8 are presented for examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 5. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Narusawa et al. (U.S. 6,947,171) in view of Gatto et al. (U.S. 6,710,895).

Re claims 1-4 and 7-8: Narusawa et al. discloses multifunction printer, computer, printing system and recording medium, which includes a housing for containing two normally separately housed peripherals for saving space at a terminal including a receipt printer 24/34 and a bar code reader {herein card reader 26/36 or image scanner 76/86; as shown in figs. # 14-15}; and control circuitry {herein USB hubs 22, 32} in the housing for facilitating communication of receipt data

Art Unit: 2876

between the printer and a separately {herein Narusawa et al. teaches that the multifunction printer holding identification information indicating that the data acquiring device and the printing device are held in a common housing, the computer being capable of recognizing the data acquiring device and the printing device independently, even when they are held in a single housing of a multifunction printer} housed controlling transaction computer and bar code data between the bar code reader and the separately housed controlling transaction computer over a single cable {herein USB cable 40, 42} (col.2, lines 53-67; col.3, lines 10+; col.12, lines 55+; col.14, lines 34+). Narusawa et al. further teaches a computer peripheral {herein host computer 10} (col.5, lines 8+).

Narusawa et al. fails to specifically teach that the system is used at a checkout station during a sale of products completed by the transaction computer and the printer is a receipt printer.

Gatto et al. discloses compact configurable scanning computer terminal, which includes a multi-function terminal comprising of receipt printer assembly 19 (col.5, lines 65; col.7, lines 15-20), a CCD bar code reader/scanner 15 {wherein the presentation scanner is fixedly connected to housing/device while bar coded objects are moved through the scanned field} (col.6, lines 1).

In view of Gatto et al.'s teachings, it would have been obvious to an artisan of ordinary skill in the art at the invention was made to employ into the teachings of Narusawa et al. a receipt printer for completing sale transaction of products. Such modification would be more effective in enabling scanning a product's label/barcode, decoding and outputting product information, and means of accepting, computing currency exchange {i.e. displaying price of the product, entering currency tendered, and computing customer's change back if available, and printing previous steps/functions over customer's receipt} through the receipt printer. Although Gatto et al. did not emphasize on a checkout system, it has been held that a recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed

Page 4

apparatus from a prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations. Therefore, such

modification would have been an obvious extension as taught by Narusawa et al.

Re claim 5: Nasusawa et al. discloses a system and method, wherein the housing was

originally designed to only contain the printer, and wherein the bar code reader is located in a

position in the housing that does not interfere with operation of the printer (col.14, lines 34-47).

Re claim 6: Narusawa et al. discloses a system and method, wherein the control circuitry

comprises a universal serial bus {USB hubs 22, 32} (col.5, lines 16+).

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-8 have been considered but are moot in

view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to EDWYN LABAZE whose telephone number is (571) 272-2395

or by email edwyn.labaze@uspto.gov for communication purposes only if the examiner cannot

be reached over the phone. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 AM - 4:00 PM.

Application/Control Number: 10/659,659

Art Unit: 2876

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Michael G. Lee can be reached on (571) 272-2398. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Page 5

Edwyn Labaze Patent Examiner

Eduf labare

Art Unit 2876

April 1, 2007